

FY2024 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria

Applicant: YWCA Missoula		Project: YWCA Missoula RRH Program		Project Type: PH-RRH					
APR data is from HMIS and Comparable Databases (for Victim's Services Providers)									
System Performance Measures (PSH and RRH-60pts, TH-45pts)									
Criteria	Criteria Goal Applies to Data Source Scoring Matrix Maximum Points								
Average # of days from project entry to move-In	30 days or less	PSH, RRH	APR Question 22c	30 days or less - 15pts 31-60 - 10pts 61-90 - 5pt More than 90 - 0pts	15				
Retention in or exits to Permanent Housing (PH)	90%	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Questions 23c	90% or more – 20pts 80-89% - 15pts 70-79% - 10pts 60-69% -5pts Less than 59% - 0pts	20				
New or increased earned income for stayers	10%	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Questions 19a.1 and 19a.2	10% or more – 5pts Less than 10% - 0pts	5				
New or increased non- employment income for stayers	15%	PSH,RRH, TH	APR Questions 19a.1 and 19a.2	15% or more –5pts Less than 15% - 0pts	5				
New or increased earned income for leavers	10%	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Questions 19a.1 and 19a.2	10% or more – 5pts Less than 10% - 0pts	5				
New or increased non- employment income for leavers	15%	PSH,RRH, TH	APR Questions 19a.1 and 19a.2	15% or more – 5pts Less than 15% - 0pts	5				
Participants that obtained or maintained health insurance at annual assessment or project exit.	50%	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Questions 5a and 21	50% or more - 5pts Less than 50% - 0pts	5				

Barriers to Housing and Services (35pts)							
Criteria	Goal	Applies to	Data Source	Scoring Matrix	Maximum Points		
Project serves one or more of the following subpopulations: Low or no income, Disabled > than 1, Domestic Violence, Criminal History, and Chronically homeless.	Project serves one (1) or more subpopulations	PSH, RRH, TH	APR: Questions 5a, 13a.1, 14a, 14b, 15 and 18	Project serves one (1) or more: Yes – 10pts No – 0pts	10		
Project serves one or more of the following subpopulations: Families w/children, Youth (under age 25), Youth w/children, Veterans, and Seniors (age 62 and over)	Project serves one (1) or more subpopulations	PSH, RRH, TH	APR: Questions 5a, 8a and 11	Project serves one (1) or more: Yes – 5pts No – 0pts	5		
Housing First	Project scores at least 12 out of 14 on the Housing First Assessment	PSH, RRH, TH	Housing First Assessment	Project Score is: 14 - 10pts 12-13 - 5pts 11 or less - 0pts	10		
Service Population (total served) is reflective of persons and ethnicities, particularly those over- represented in the local homeless population	Project meets or exceeds the % of participants that are Black, Indigenous or Persons of Color as compared to local PIT Population Data.	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Question 12a and 2023 Montana PIT Population Data (see table below)	Meets/exceeds – 10pts Within 10% - 5pts 11% or more – 0pts	10		

Operational Performance (57pts)							
Criteria	Goal	Applies to	Data Source	Scoring Matrix	Maximum Points		
APR Compliance	APR Submitted on time/accepted	PSH, RRH, TH	Sage	Yes – 10pts No – 0pts	10		
Submission Compliance	All required CoC (local) and <i>e-snaps</i> documents submitted on time	PSH, RRH, TH	CoC and <i>e-snaps</i>	Yes – 5pts No – 0pts	5		
Unit utilization rate during performance period	90% DV TH 90% DV RRH 75%	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Unit Utilization Report	90% or more - 10pts 75% or more - 5pts Less than 75% - 0pts 75% or more - 10pts 60% or more - 5pts Less than 60% - 0pts	10		
Funding Management: Percentage of Funds Utilized	95%	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Question 28 and HUD Spending Report	95% or more =10 points 75% or more =5 points Less than 75% =0 points	10		
Project Cost Effectiveness	100% of avg or less	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Question 5a and 28: # served/total expenditures adjusted for local FMR	100% of avg or < - 5pts 101-120% - 3pts 121-140% - 1pts 141% or > - 0pts	5		
Staff are trained in best practices (e.g. Housing First, Harm Reduction, Trauma-Informed, Motivational Interviewing, De-Escalation, and Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI).	Project staff attend 12 hours or more annually	PSH, RRH, TH	Intent to Renew (w/documentation) and CoC Training Registration Log	Yes – 2pts No – 0pts	2		
Error rates for Universal Data Elements	Error rates are 5% or less	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Questions 6b	5% or less - 10pts 5.01% or more - 0pts	10		
Error rates for Personally Identifying Information and Income and Housing Data Elements	Error rates are 5% or less on all Data Elements for APR Questions 6a and 6c	PSH, RRH, TH	APR Questions 6a and 6c	5% or less - 5pts 5.01% or more - 0pts	5		

Total Points Earned	
Project Score (total points earned/maximum points available = Project Score)	

Scoring Information	PSH and RRH	TH
Maximum Points Available by Project Type	152	137
% of Points Scored on Objective Criteria	100%	100%
% of Points Scored on Systems Performance Measures	39.5%	32.8%
% of Points Scored on Barriers to Housing and Services	23%	25.5%

Sources of Scoring Criteria Information:

Renewal Projects will be scored on information from one or all of the following sources:

- Your project Intent to Renew submission
- Your project application submitted into *e-snaps*.
- Your most recently submitted APR.
- Data from HMIS, Sage, Comparable Data Bases (DV Projects), and CoC submissions (e.g. Housing First Assessment and Intent to Renew)

Project Score examples:

Project scores are determined by calculating the percentage of points received of the maximum points available.

Example:

- PH-PSH Project receives 81 points out of 152 available points: 81/152 = 0.532x100 = 53.2 *Project Score is 53.2*
- TH Project receives 106 points out of 137 available points: 106/137 = .773x100 = 77.3 *Project Score is 77.3*

Scoring of Joint TH/RRH Projects:

Many of the criteria for Joint TH/RRH are reported separately in the APR(s) by component type (e.g. Systems Performance Measures, Unit Utilization), scores for these criteria are pro-rated to align with enrollment of the two components.

Example:

- Total persons served in Joint TH/RRH 85
 - Total persons served in TH 50 (50/85 = .588 *59% of persons served*)
 - Total persons served in RRH 35 (35/85 = .411 41% of persons served)
- Criterion: Retention in or exits to Permanent Housing (PH) 90% threshold for maximum points
 - Total exiting to Permanent Housing for TH 82% (15pts per scoring matrix)
 - Total exiting to Permanent Housing for RRH 79% (10pts per scoring matrix)
- Total Score for Joint TH/RRH
 - (TH 15x.59 = 8.85pts) + (RRH 10x.41 = 4.1pts) = 12.95pts

Score for Criterion is: 12.9

Health Insurance:

Health Insurance percentage is determined by:

- PH-PSH # Leavers with HI@exit + Stayers with HI@last assessment / total # leavers and stayers x 100 = % with Health Insurance
 - e.g. 2 leavers+6 stayers with HI/7 leavers+11 stayers **8/18 = .444 x 100 = 44.4%**
- PH-RRH/TH # Leavers with HI@exit / total # leavers x 100 = % with Health Insurance
 - e.g. 8 leavers with HI/15 leavers- 8/15 = .533 x 100 = .53.3%

Project Cost Effectiveness:

Project cost effectiveness is calculated using the total number served and total expenditures, and is adjusted for local FMR:

- Total Contracted Amount \$324,558 Remaining Balance \$14,065.53 = Total Expenditures \$310,492.47
- Cost per Household = Total Expenditures / Total Number Served = \$310,492/144 (total served) = 2156 per Household
- Cost per Household × FMR Scale for Region (from chart) 2156x.859823 = 1853.77 (FMR AVG)
- FMR AVG / Project Cost per Household 1854/2156 = .8599 x 100 = 85.99

86% Project Cost Effectiveness

FMR SCALE							
Billings	Bozeman	Butte	Helena	Missoula	Kalispell	Great Falls	Hamilton
0.972534	0.871365	1.114449	0.984829	0.859823	1.015645	1.11127	1.160954

MONTANA 2023 PIT POPULATION DATA	WHITE	NATIVE AMERICAN	OTHER	PERCENT OF NATIVE AMERICAN AND OTHER
STATEWIDE	1692	485	95	27%
BILLINGS	348	227	23	42%
BOZEMAN/LIVINGSTON	212	19	30	19%
BUTTE	153	29	5	18%
GREAT FALLS	130	80	7	40%
HAMILTON	106	11	3	12%
HAVRE	0	10	0	100%
HELENA	120	43	1	27%
KALISPELL/RONAN	179	76	8	32%
LEWISTOWN	2	0	0	0%
MISSOULA	268	70	18	25%